

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,203	06/20/2003	Grant M. Kloster	42P17058	8820	
8791 75	590 10/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	OKOLOFF TAYLO	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, KHIEM D		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	S, CA 90025-1030		2823		

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/600,203	KLOSTER ET AL.				
navicely nearly	Examiner	Art Unit	,			
	Khiem D Nguyen	2823	A			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a standard which a stand the standard which are the standard with the standard ment which are standard with the standard ment with the standard me	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>10-14 and 16</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,26,27 and 29-34</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18-25.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants contend that the reference Nakao et al., U.S. Patent 6,452,650 herein known as Nakao does not teach a second material causing a reaction in the first material. In response to Applicants' contention that Nakao does not teach a second material causing a reaction in the first material, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicants are directed to (col. 16, line 65 to col. 17, line 13) where Nakao discloses a second material (oligomer or liquid crystals) causes a reaction in a portion of the first material (polymerizable monomers) (col. 16, lines 65-66) to produce polymer network type liquid crystal element (col. 17, lines 6-10). Thus, Nakao does teach wherein the second material causes a reaction in a portion of the first material. Applicants further argued that the polymerizable monomers, oligomers, and polymerization initiators do not react to form a polymer in the absence of ultraviolet light, however it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., to form a polymer in the absence of ultraviolet light) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). For these reasons, Examiner holds the rejection proper.

HSIEN-MING LEE PRIMARY EXAMINED 10/2/204